Inventor: Tsutomu WATANABE et al.

Application No.: 10/664,486

Title: Electronic Device

Atty Docket No.: 39303-20410.00

Filing Date: Sep. 17, 2003

### **Documents Filed:**

1. Transmittal Form (1 pg)

2. Petition For Extension Of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (1 pg)

 Fee Transmittal For FY2005 in duplicate (\$120 ext. of time fee to be charged to deposit account)

4. Response To Restriction Requirement (2 pp)

Postcard Receipt

Via: Certificate of Mailing - Express Mail - EV644665102US

Sender's Initials: DLF/MA/kk

Date: December 5, 200



la-831688

MORRISON

FOERSTER

555 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA 90013-1024

TELEPHONE: 213.892.5200 FACSIMILE: 213.892.5454

WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

NEW YORK, RAN PRANCISCO, LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO, SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.

DENVER, NORTHERN VIRGINIA.

ORANGE COUNTY, SACEAMENTO,

WALNUT CREEK, CENTURY CITY

TOKYO, LONDON, BEIJING, SHANGHAI, HONG KONG, RINGAPORE, BRUGSHLS

To:

Name:	FACSIMILE:	TELEPHONE:
Examiner Tuan Dinh		
	571.273.1929	571.272.1929

FROM:

Mehran Arjomand

DATE:

April 10, 2006

Number of pages with cover page:	8	Our reference: 39303-20410.00
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct:

8677/MXA8

### CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

### Comments:

Re U.S. Patent Application No. 10/664,488. Pursuant to our telephone conversation, please find attached a copy of the reply to the restriction requirement filed on December 5, 2005, a copy of the express mail label and a copy of the returned stamped postcard.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL MEHRAN ARJOMAND AT 213-892-5630 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

LA-852853

PAGE 1/8 \* RCVD AT 4/10/2006 2:33:21 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 \* DNIS:2731929 \* CSID:2138925454 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-48

Inventor: Tsutomu WATANABE et al.

Application No.: 10/664,486

Title: Electronic Device

Atty Docket No.: 39303-20410.00

Filing Date: Sep. 17, 2003

## Documents Filed:

Transmittal Form (1 pg)

Petition For Extension Of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (1 pg)

Fee Transmittal For FY2005 in duplicate (\$120 ext. of time fee to be charged to

deposit account) Response To Restriction Requirement (2 pp)

Postcard Receipt 5.

Via: Certificate of Mailing - Express Mail - EV644665102US

Sender's Initials: DLF/MA/kk

Date: December 5, 2005

DOCKETED

la-831688

•		
; •	EA PARPEZOS AZ	Customer Copy Label 11-F, April 2004  EXPRESS MAIL UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:  Post Office To Addressee
OU ARE MAKING 3 COPIES.	DRIGIN (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY)   Postago	DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)  Octivery Attempt  Mo. Day
PRESS HARD.	######################################	PRODUNCACON NO. PRODUCTION OF
1	TOR PICKUP OR TRACKING: Visit WWW.USPS.COM of	call 1-800-222-1811 電影音

PAGE 2/8 \* RCVD AT 4/10/2006 2:33:21 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 \* DNIS:2731929 \* CSID:2138925454 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-48

> Docket No.: 393032041000 Client reference: US195Y03 (H7903US)

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Tsutomu WATANABE et al.

Confirmation No.: 4850

Application No.: 10/664,486

Examiner: Tuan T. Dinh

Filed: September 17, 2003

Art Unit: 2841

For: ELECTRONIC DEVICE

# RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the restriction requirement set forth in an Office Action dated October 4, 2005, for which a response was due on November 4, 2005, and for which a one-month extension of time is also requested to extend the time for response from November 4, 2005, to December 5, 2005 (the first business day following December 4, 2005).

Applicants note with appreciation the courtesy of the Examiner during a telephone interview on November 18, 2005 to discuss the restriction requirement. The restriction requirement sets forth two species: Specie I (Fig. 3) and Specie II (Fig. 4). As discussed during the interview, Figs. 3 and 4 illustrate a mixer 1 to which member 20 is attached. In Fig. 3, member 20 is attached to wall portion 14. This is known as the first attachment mode (see specification at page 9, lines 14-16). In Fig. 4, member 20 has been rotated and attached in a different manner to the mixer 1. This is known as the second attachment mode (see page 13, lines 13-14). Figs. 3 and 4 thus illustrate the same embodiment in two different attachment modes.

LA-831677

Application No.: 10/664,488 Response Dated: December 5, 2005

Docket No.: 393032041000

The MPEP defines species as different embodiments (see MPEP 806.04(e)). Because Figs. 3 and 4 do not illustrate different embodiments but rather the same embodiment in two different attachment modes, they are not different species. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and request that all the claims (claims 1-8) be examined together.

2

Applicants understand that a complete reply requires an election even if the restriction requirement is traversed. Given that Species I and II illustrate the same embodiment, it does not matter whether Specie I or Specie II is elected, particularly in view that the same claims will read on each specie. Applicants thus hereby elect with traverse Species II (Fig. 3) in order to simply make an election. Claims 1-6 read on Fig. 3. It is believed that claims 1-6 are generic in that they read on both Figs. 3 and 4.

Applicants expressly reserve their right under 35 U.S.C. §121 to file a divisional application directed to the nonelected subject matter during the pendency of this application, or an application claiming priority from this application.

Applicants request examination on the merits according to the traversal.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, Applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <u>Deposit</u>

<u>Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing Docket No. <u>393032041000</u>.

Dated: December 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Mehran Arjomand

Registration No.: 48,231
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500

Los Angeles, California 90013

(213) 892-5200

la-831677